

Quadrangularity in Tournaments

J. Richard Lundgren^a, Simone Severini^b and
Dustin J. Stewart^{a,*}

^a*University of Colorado at Denver, Denver, CO 80217*

^b*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*

Abstract

The pattern of a matrix M is a $(0,1)$ -matrix which replaces all non-zero entries of M with a 1. There are several contexts in which studying the patterns of orthogonal matrices can be useful. One necessary condition for a matrix to be orthogonal is a property known as combinatorial orthogonality. If the adjacency matrix of a directed graph forms a pattern of a combinatorially orthogonal matrix, we say the digraph is quadrangular. We look at the quadrangular property in tournaments and regular tournaments.

Key words: combinatorial orthogonality, quadrangular, tournament

1 Introduction

A *directed graph* or *digraph*, D , is a set of vertices, $V(D)$, together with a set of ordered pairs of the vertices, $A(D)$, called arcs. If (u, v) is an arc in a digraph, we say that u *beats* v or u *dominates* v , and typically write this as $u \rightarrow v$. If $v \in V(D)$ then we define the *outset* of v by,

$$O_D(v) = \{u \in V(D) : (v, u) \in A(D)\}.$$

That is, $O_D(v)$ is all vertices in D which v beats. Similarly, we define the set of all vertices in D which beat v to be the *inset* of v , written,

$$I_D(v) = \{u \in V(D) : (u, v) \in A(D)\}.$$

* Corresponding author

Email address: `dstewart@math.cudenver.edu` (Dustin J. Stewart).

The *in-degree* and *out-degree* of a vertex v are $d_D^-(v) = |I_D(v)|$ and $d_D^+(v) = |O_D(v)|$ respectively. When it is clear to which digraph v belongs, we will drop the subscript. The minimum out-degree of D is the smallest out-degree of any vertex in D , and is denoted by $\delta^+(D)$. We define the minimum in-degree of D similarly, and represent it by $\delta^-(D)$. A *tournament*, T , is directed graph with the property that for each $u \neq v \in V(T)$ exactly one of (u, v) , (v, u) is in $A(T)$, and $(u, u) \notin A(T)$.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be n -vectors over any field. We say that x and y are *combinatorially orthogonal* if $|\{i : x_i y_i \neq 0\}| \neq 1$. Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix. If every two rows of M are combinatorially orthogonal, then we say that M is *combinatorially row-orthogonal*. If both M and M^T have the property of being combinatorially row-orthogonal, then we say that M is a *combinatorially orthogonal* matrix. In [1], Beasley, Brualdi and Shader study the combinatorial orthogonality property to determine that an orthogonal matrix which cannot be decomposed into two smaller orthogonal matrices must contain at least $4n - 4$ non-zero entries. They also determine a family of matrices so that any combinatorially orthogonal matrix which cannot be decomposed and meets this bound belongs to this family, up to arbitrary row and column permutations.

Given an $n \times n$ matrix M , the *pattern* of M is a $n \times n$ matrix M' , defined by

$$M'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M_{i,j} = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } M_{i,j} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $M_{i,j}$ denotes the i, j entry of M . It follows quickly from the definition that a matrix is combinatorially orthogonal if and only if the associated pattern matrix is combinatorially orthogonal.

Using the pattern of a matrix allows us to relate the concept of combinatorial orthogonality to digraphs. We define the *adjacency matrix* A of a digraph D , with $V(D) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ by,

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \rightarrow v_j, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If the adjacency matrix of D is the pattern of a matrix M , we simplify the statement by saying that D is the digraph of M .

Note, D is the digraph of a combinatorially orthogonal matrix if and only if for all $u \neq v \in V(D)$, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$ and $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \neq 1$. We call a digraph

with these properties *quadrangular*. If we only require $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$ for all $u \neq v \in V(D)$, we say D is *out-quadrangular*. Similarly, if $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \neq 1$ for all $u \neq v \in V(D)$, we say that D is *in-quadrangular*. In [2], Gibson and Zhang study a similar quadrangular property by looking at combinatorial orthogonality in the reduced adjacency matrices of bipartite graphs. By citing a theorem of Reid and Thomassen [3], Gibson and Zhang give a graph theoretic proof of the bound of Beasley, Brualdi and Shader found in [1]. In this paper we are interested in tournaments which have the quadrangular property.

Characterizing digraphs of orthogonal matrices is a method to unveil their combinatorial properties. An understanding of combinatorial properties of orthogonal matrices could be useful in approaching the existence problem for weighing matrices. Also, it may provide insight in contexts where combinatorial objects and orthogonal matrices naturally appear. For example, in the theory of quantum computation and information ([4]).

2 Quadrangular tournaments

In this section we give some classifications of quadrangular tournaments, but first we need a few more definitions. Let T be a tournament. We obtain the *dual of T* , which we represent by T^r , by forming the tournament on the same vertices of T with $x \rightarrow y$ in T^r if and only if $y \rightarrow x$ in T . We use the notation T^r because this is sometimes referred to as the reversal of T . Also, a *transmitter* in T is a vertex which dominates all other vertices of T , and a *receiver* is a vertex which is dominated by all other vertices of T .

A *dominant pair* in a tournament T is a pair of vertices u, v so that every other vertex in T is dominated by at least one of u or v . The *domination graph* of T , denoted by $\text{dom}(T)$ is the graph formed on the same vertices of T with an edge between x, y if and only if x and y form a dominant pair in T . The *competition graph* of T is the graph formed on the same vertices of T with an edge between x and y if and only if there exists some z such that $x \rightarrow z$ and $y \rightarrow z$. Fisher, Lundgren, Merz and Reid [5] showed that the domination graph of T is isomorphic to the competition graph of T^r .

A *dominating set* in a digraph D is a set of vertices S such that every vertex in D is in S or dominated by a vertex in S . The *domination number* of a digraph, $\gamma(D)$, is the size of a smallest dominating set in D . Note that a dominating set of size 2 in a tournament is a dominant pair. So, if $\gamma(T) > 2$ then T has no dominating pairs and so $E(\text{dom}(T)) = \emptyset$. We now use these concepts to classify some quadrangular tournaments.

Theorem 2.1 *Let T be a tournament on 3 or more vertices with a transmitter s and receiver t . Then T is quadrangular if and only if both $\gamma(T - \{s, t\}) > 2$ and $\gamma((T - \{s, t\})^r) > 2$.*

Proof. Let T be a tournament with a transmitter s and receiver t . Suppose that both $\gamma(T - \{s, t\}) > 2$ and $\gamma((T - \{s, t\})^r) > 2$. Then, $E(\text{dom}(T - \{s, t\})) = E(\text{dom}((T - \{s, t\})^r)) = \emptyset$. Thus the competition graphs of both $T - \{s, t\}$ and $(T - \{s, t\})^r$ are complete. That is, for all $x, y \in V(T - \{s, t\})$ there exist $w, z \in V(T)$ such that $w \rightarrow x$, $w \rightarrow y$, $x \rightarrow z$ and $y \rightarrow z$. Pick $u \neq v \in V(T)$. We consider three cases.

Case 1: Suppose $u, v \notin \{s, t\}$. Then, as noted before, there exist vertices $w, z \in V(T - \{s, t\})$ so that $z \in O(u) \cap O(v)$ and $w \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. Also, $s \in O(u) \cap O(v)$ and $t \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. So, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq 2$ and $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 2$.

Case 2: Now assume that one of u or v is t , say $u = t$. Since $O(t) = \emptyset$, $O(t) \cap O(v) = \emptyset$, so $|O(t) \cap O(v)| = 0$. Also, $I(t) = V(T) - t$, so $I(t) \cap I(v) = I(v)$. If $v = s$, then $I(v) = \emptyset$, thus $|I(t) \cap I(v)| = 0$. So, suppose $v \neq s$. Since $\gamma(T - \{s, t\}) > 2$, there exists $w \in V(T - \{s, t\})$ such that $w \rightarrow v$, for otherwise v would be a dominating set of size 1 in $T - \{s, t\}$. Thus, $s, w \in I(v)$, and $|I(t) \cap I(v)| \geq 2$ as desired.

Case 3: Now, assume that one of u, v is s , say $u = s$. Since $I(s) = \emptyset$, $I(s) \cap I(v) = \emptyset$, so $|I(s) \cap I(v)| = 0$. Also, since $O(s) = T - s$, $O(s) \cap O(v) = O(v)$. The case with $v = t$ was covered in case 2, so assume $v \neq t$. Since $\gamma((T - \{s, t\})^r) > 2$ there exists w such that $v \rightarrow w$, for otherwise w would form a dominating set of size 1 in $(T - \{s, t\})^r$. So, $w, t \in O(v)$, and so $|O(s) \cap O(v)| \geq 2$.

Now assume that T is a quadrangular tournament with both a transmitter s and receiver t . If $u, v \in V(T - \{s, t\})$, then $s \in O(u) \cap O(v)$, and $t \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. Since T is quadrangular and $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq 1$ and $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 1$, there must exist vertices w, z in $T - \{s, t\}$ such that $z \in O(u) \cap O(v)$ and $w \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. Since w beats u and v they cannot be a dominant pair in $T - \{s, t\}$ and since u and v beat z they cannot be a dominant pair in $T - \{s, t\}$. Thus, $E(\text{dom}(T - \{s, t\})) = E(\text{dom}((T - \{s, t\})^r)) = \emptyset$. Equivalently, $\gamma(T - \{s, t\}) > 2$ and $\gamma((T - \{s, t\})^r) > 2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

It was shown by Fisher et.al. in [6] that a tournament on fewer than 7 vertices must contain a dominant pair. It is known that the quadratic residue tournament on 7 vertices, QR_7 , has domination number 3, and QR_7 is isomorphic to its dual, so $\gamma(QR_7^r) = 3$. Thus a tournament T on 9 vertices with a trans-

mitter s and receiver t such that $T - \{s, t\} = QR_7$ is the smallest example of a quadrangular tournament with both a transmitter and receiver. We now consider the case when a tournament has a transmitter or receiver, but not both.

Theorem 2.2 *Let T be a tournament with a transmitter s and no receiver. Then T is quadrangular if and only if, $\gamma(T - s) > 2$, $T - s$ is out-quadrangular, and $\delta^+(T - s) \geq 2$.*

Proof. First suppose that $\gamma(T - s) > 2$, $T - s$ is out-quadrangular, and $\delta^+(T - s) \geq 2$. Pick $u \neq v \in V(T)$. First suppose that $u, v \in T - s$. Since $\gamma(T - s) > 2$ there exists a $x \in V(T - s)$ such that $x \rightarrow u$ and $x \rightarrow v$. So, $s, x \in I(u) \cap I(v)$ and so $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 2$. Also, since $T - s$ is out-quadrangular $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$. Now, suppose that one of u, v is s , say $u = s$. Since $I(s) = \emptyset$, $|I(s) \cap I(v)| = 0$. Also, since $\delta^+(T - s) \geq 2$, $|O(s) \cap O(v)| = |O(v)| \geq 2$. Thus, $T - s$ is quadrangular as desired.

Now, assume that T is quadrangular. Since $O(s) = T - s$, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 1$ for all $u, v \in V(T - s)$. Since T is quadrangular this means we must have $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 2$ for each $u \neq v \in V(T - s)$. Thus, for all $u \neq v \in V(T - s)$, there must exist some $x \in V(T - s)$ such that $x \rightarrow u$ and $x \rightarrow v$. So, $\gamma(T - s) > 2$. Since T has no receiver, $|O(v)| \geq 1$ for all $v \in V(T)$. Since $O(s) \cap O(v) = O(v)$ for all $v \in V(T - s)$, and T is quadrangular, we must then have that

$$|O(v)| = |O(s) \cap O(v)| \geq 2.$$

Thus, $\delta^+(T - s) \geq 2$. Now, pick $u \neq v \in V(T - s)$. Since T is quadrangular, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$. So $T - s$ is out-quadrangular. $\square$

If T is a tournament with a receiver and no transmitter, then it is the dual of a tournament with a transmitter and no receiver. Obviously, a tournament is quadrangular if and only if its dual is. So, by Theorem 2.2, T is quadrangular if and only if $\gamma((T - t)^r) > 2$, $(T - t)^r$ is out-quadrangular and $\delta^+((T - t)^r) \geq 2$. Since $(T - t)^r$ being out-quadrangular is equivalent to $T - t$ being in-quadrangular, and $\delta^+((T - t)^r) = \delta^-(T - t)$ we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 *Let T be a tournament with a receiver t and no transmitter. Then T is quadrangular if and only if $\gamma((T - t)^r) > 2$, $T - t$ is in-quadrangular, and $\delta^-(T - t) \geq 2$.*

A tournament is called *strongly connected* if any two vertices in the tournament are mutually reachable by a directed path. If a tournament is not strongly

connected, then it can be partitioned into maximal strongly connected components. Further, these strong components can be labeled $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m$ such that every vertex in T_i beats every vertex of T_j whenever $i < j$. The component T_1 is called the *initial strong component* and the component T_m is called the *terminal strong component*.

Theorem 2.3 *Let T be a tournament with no transmitter or receiver which is not strongly connected. Then T is quadrangular if and only if the initial strong component, T_1 , is in-quadrangular with $\delta^-(T_1) \geq 2$ and the terminal strong component, T_m , is out-quadrangular with $\delta^+(T_m) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let T be a tournament with no transmitter or receiver, which is not strongly connected. Suppose that T_1 is in-quadrangular with $\delta^-(T_1) \geq 2$, and that T_m is out-quadrangular with $\delta^+(T_m) \geq 2$. Note also that since T has no transmitter or receiver, T_1 and T_m must contain at least 3 vertices each. Pick $u \neq v \in V(T)$. We consider 5 cases.

Case 1: Suppose that u and v are in neither T_1 nor T_m . Every vertex of T_1 beats every vertex in $T - T_1$ and every vertex of T_m is beaten by every vertex of $T - T_m$. So, since T has no transmitter or receiver,

$$|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq |V(T_m)| \geq 3 \text{ and } |I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq |V(T_1)| \geq 3.$$

Case 2: Suppose that both $u, v \in T_1$. Then, since T_1 is in-quadrangular, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \neq 1$. Also, u and v beat every vertex in $T - T_1$, in particular, $T_m \subseteq O(u) \cap O(v)$. Thus, since T has no receiver,

$$|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq |V(T_m)| \geq 3.$$

Case 3: Suppose that both $u, v \in T_m$. Then, since T_m is out-quadrangular, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$. Also, since T has no transmitter, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq |V(T_1)| \geq 3$.

Case 4: Suppose that $u \in T_1$ and $v \notin T_1$. Since $v \notin T_1$ we know that $I(u) \subseteq I(v)$ and so $I(u) \cap I(v) = I(u)$. So, since $\delta^-(T_1) \geq 2$, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| = |I(u)| \geq 2$. Also, since $u \in T_1$ and $v \notin T_1$, we know that $O(v) \subseteq O(u)$. Thus, $O(u) \cap O(v) = O(v)$. If $v \notin T_m$, then $T_m \subseteq O(v)$, and so $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq |T_m| \geq 3$. So, assume that $v \in T_m$. Then $|O(u) \cap O(v)| = |O(v)| \geq \delta^+(T_m) \geq 2$.

Case 5: Suppose that $u \in V(T_m)$ and $v \notin V(T_m)$. Since $v \notin V(T_m)$, $O(u) \subseteq V(T_m) \subseteq O(v)$, and so $O(u) \cap O(v) = O(u)$. So, since $\delta^+(T_m) \geq 2$, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| = |O(u)| \geq 2$. Now, if $v \in V(T_1)$ then we showed in case 4 that $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 2$.

$|I(v)| \geq 2$. So, assume that $v \notin V(T_1)$. Then, every vertex in T_1 beats both u and v , and so $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq |V(T_1)| \geq 3$.

Now, assume that T is quadrangular. Since T is quadrangular, if $u \neq v \in V(T_1)$, then $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \neq 1$, so T_1 is in-quadrangular. Also, since T is quadrangular, if $u \neq v \in V(T_m)$ then $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$, and so T_m is out-quadrangular. Now, pick $u \in V(T_1)$ and $v \in V(T_m)$. Then, $O(u) \cap O(v) = O(v)$, and $I(u) \cap I(v) = I(u)$. Since T has no receiver, $|O(v)| \geq 1$, and so we must have that $|O(v)| = |O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq 2$. Thus, $\delta_+(T_m) \geq 2$. Also, since T has no transmitter, $|I(u)| \geq 1$, and so $|I(u)| = |I(u) \cap I(v)| \geq 2$. Thus, $\delta^-(T_1) \geq 2$. These are the conditions from the theorem statement, and so the result follows. $\square$

We now give a characterization of quadrangular tournaments with minimum in-degree 1 or minimum out-degree 1. First we need some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *Let T be a quadrangular tournament with a vertex x of out-degree 1. Say $x \rightarrow y$ then $O(y) = T - \{x, y\}$*

Proof. Suppose there exists a vertex v in $T - \{x, y\}$ such that $v \rightarrow y$. Then, since $O(x) = y$, $|O(x) \cap O(v)| = |\{y\}| = 1$. This contradicts quadrangularity of T . $\square$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to the dual of T we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let T be a quadrangular tournament with a vertex x of in-degree 1. Say $y \rightarrow x$, then $I(y) = T - \{x, y\}$.*

Theorem 2.4 *Let T be a tournament on 4 or more vertices with a vertex x of out-degree 1, and say $x \rightarrow y$. Then, T is quadrangular if and only if*

1. $O(y) = T - \{x, y\}$,
2. $\gamma(T - \{x, y\}) > 2$,
3. $\gamma((T - \{x, y\})^r) > 2$,
4. $\delta^+(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$,
5. $\delta^-(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$.

Proof. First, suppose that T is quadrangular. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $O(y) = T - \{x, y\}$. Now, pick vertices $u \neq v$ in $T - \{x, y\}$. Since $x \in O(u) \cap O(v)$ there must exist some other vertex w in $T - x$ for which $w \in O(u) \cap O(v)$. Since $O(y) = T - \{x, y\}$, this w must be in $T - \{x, y\}$. So, there exists $w \in T - \{x, y\}$

such that $w \in O(u) \cap O(v)$. This is equivalent to saying $\gamma((T - \{x, y\})^r) > 2$. Also, $y \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. So, since T is quadrangular, there must exist a vertex z in $T - y$ such that $z \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. Since $O(x) = y$, this vertex must be in $T - \{x, y\}$. So, we must also have that $\gamma(T - \{x, y\}) > 2$. Now, if $v \in V(T - \{x, y\})$, then $I(v) \cap I(x) = I(v)$. Since $\gamma(T - \{x, y\}) > 2$, $I(v) - y \neq \emptyset$. So, $|I(v)| = |I(v) \cap I(x)| \geq 2$. Thus, $\delta^-(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$. Also, $O(v) \cap O(y) = O(v) - x$. Since $\gamma((T - \{x, y\})^r) > 2$, we have $O(v) - x \neq \emptyset$, and so $|O(v) \cap O(y)| \geq 1$. Thus, since T is quadrangular, $|O(v)| = |O(y) \cap O(v)| \geq 2$. Thus, $\delta^+(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$. So, these conditions are necessary.

Now assume that T is a tournament with a vertex x such that $O(x) = y$, and $O(y) = T - \{x, y\}$, $\gamma(T - \{x, y\}) > 2$, $\gamma((T - \{x, y\})^r) > 2$, $\delta^+(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$ and $\delta^-(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$. Pick $u \neq v \in V(T)$. We will show T is quadrangular using three cases.

Case 1: Suppose $u, v \in V(T - \{x, y\})$. Then, $x \in O(u) \cap O(v)$, and since $\gamma((T - \{x, y\})^r) > 2$, there exists $w \in V(T - \{x, y\})$ such that $w \in O(u) \cap O(v)$. Thus, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| > 1$. Also, $y \in I(u) \cap I(v)$, and since $\gamma(T - \{x, y\}) > 2$ there exists $z \in V(T - \{x, y\})$ such that $z \in I(u) \cap I(v)$. So, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| > 1$.

Case 2: Suppose that $u = x$. Then $O(u) = y$ and since $y \notin O(v)$, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| = 0$. Now, $I(u) \cap I(v) = I(v) - y$ since $u = x$. So, since $\delta^-(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| = |I(v) - y| \geq 2$.

Case 3: Suppose that $u = y$. Then, $I(u) = x$ and since $x \notin I(v)$, $|I(u) \cap I(v)| = 0$. Now, $O(u) \cap O(v) = O(v) - x$ since $u = y$. So, since $\delta^+(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| = |O(v) - x| \geq 2$. Thus, T is quadrangular. $\square$

Applying Theorem 2.4 to the dual of T we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let T be a tournament with a vertex x with in-degree 1. Let $y = I(x)$. Then, T is quadrangular if and only if $I(y) = T - \{x, y\}$, $\gamma(T - \{x, y\}) > 2$, $\gamma((T - \{x, y\})^r) > 2$, $\delta^+(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$, and $\delta^-(T - \{x, y\}) \geq 2$.*

We now consider tournaments whose minimum out-degree and in-degree are at least 2.

Theorem 2.5 *Let T be an out-quadrangular tournament and choose $v \in V(T)$. Let W be the sub-tournament of T induced on the vertices of $O(v)$. Then W contains no vertices of out-degree 1.*

Proof. Let T be an out-quadrangular tournament, and choose a vertex $v \in V(T)$. Let W be the sub-tournament of T induced on the vertices of $O_T(v)$. If $x \in O_T(v)$, then $O_T(v) \cap O_T(x) = O_W(x)$ and so since T is out-quadrangular, $d_W^+(x) = |O_W(x)| = |O_T(v) \cap O_T(x)| \neq 1$. $\square$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to the dual of a tournament we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 *Let T be an in-quadrangular tournament and choose $v \in V(T)$. Let W be the sub-tournament of T induced on $I(v)$. Then W contains no vertices of in-degree 1.*

The only tournaments on 2 or 3 vertices are the single arc, the 3-cycle and the transitive triple, each of which contain a vertex of out-degree 1 and a vertex of in-degree 1. Therefore, Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 give us the following three corollaries.

Corollary 2.3 *If T is an out-quadrangular tournament with $\delta^+(T) \geq 2$, then $\delta^+(T) \geq 4$.*

Corollary 2.4 *If T is an in-quadrangular tournament with $\delta^-(T) \geq 2$, then $\delta^-(T) \geq 4$.*

Corollary 2.5 *If T is a quadrangular tournament with $\delta^+(T) \geq 2$ and $\delta^-(T) \geq 2$, then $\delta^+(T) \geq 4$ and $\delta^-(T) \geq 4$.*

3 Quadrangularity in regular tournaments

In this section we look at regular tournaments, and how this requirement affects quadrangularity. We will see that regularity actually makes the job of determining whether or not a tournament is quadrangular a bit easier. We also restate the problem of whether or not a rotational tournament is quadrangular in a more number theoretic context. First we need the following definitions and proposition. Let D be a digraph, and $x \in V(D)$. The *closed outset* of x , denoted $O[x]$ is the set $O(x) \cup \{x\}$. Similarly, the *closed inset* of x is $I[x] = I(x) \cup \{x\}$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let T be a tournament on n vertices, then T is in-quadrangular if and only if for all $u \neq v \in V(T)$, $|O[u] \cup O[v]| \neq n - 1$.*

Proof. Note that since T is a tournament $I(x) = V(T) - O[x]$ for all $x \in V(T)$. Since T is in-quadrangular if and only if $|I(u) \cap I(v)| \neq 1$ for all $u \neq v \in$

$V(T)$, we have that T is in-quadrangular if and only if for all $u \neq v \in V(T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\neq |I(u) \cap I(v)| \\
&= |(V(T) - O[u]) \cap (V(T) - O[v])| \\
&= |V(T) - (O[u] \cup O[v])| \\
&= n - |O[u] \cup O[v]|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is in-quadrangular if and only if $|O[u] \cup O[v]| \neq n - 1$ for all $u \neq v \in V(T)$. $\square$

From this proposition, we can see that a tournament T is quadrangular if and only if for all $u \neq v \in V(T)$, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \neq 1$ and $|O[u] \cup O[v]| \neq n - 1$.

Theorem 3.1 *A regular tournament is quadrangular if and only if it is out-quadrangular or in-quadrangular.*

Proof. Let T be a regular tournament on $n = 2k + 1$ vertices. Note that for any two distinct vertices x and y in T , $|O[x] \cap O[y]| = |O(x) \cap O(y)| + 1$ since either $x \rightarrow y$ or $y \rightarrow x$. Thus for any two $x \neq y \in V(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|O[x] \cup O[y]| &= 2k + 2 - |O[x] \cap O[y]| \\
&= n + 1 - |O[x] \cap O[y]| \\
&= n + 1 - 1 - |O(x) \cap O(y)| \\
&= n - |O(x) \cap O(y)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|O[x] \cup O[y]| = n - 1$ if and only if $|O(x) \cap O(y)| = 1$. Thus, T is out-quadrangular if and only if it is in-quadrangular, and so it is quadrangular if and only if out-quadrangular or in-quadrangular. $\square$

The following results give us a sufficient condition for a regular tournament to be out-quadrangular in terms of the domination number of the tournament.

Theorem 3.2 *If T is a regular tournament with $\gamma(T) \geq 4$, then T is out-quadrangular.*

Proof. Let T be a regular tournament on $2k + 1$ vertices with $\gamma(T) \geq 4$. Assume to the contrary that, T is not out-quadrangular. Then there exist $u \neq v \in V(T)$ such that $|O(u) \cap O(v)| = 1$. Let $w \in V(T)$ be the single vertex in $O(u) \cap O(v)$, and without loss of generality assume that $u \rightarrow v$. So

$|O(u) \cup O(v)| = 2k - 1$, since $O(u) \cap O(v) = \{w\}$. So, $|O[u] \cup O[v]| = 2k$ since $u \rightarrow v$. Thus, there is only one vertex in $T - \{u, v\}$ which is not dominated by u or v , call it x . Then every vertex in T is either one of u, v, x or dominated by one of u, v, x , hence $\{u, v, x\}$ form a dominating set of order 3 in T . This contradicts our assumption that $\gamma(T) \geq 4$. Thus, T is out-quadrangular. $\square$

From Theorem 3.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 *If T is a regular tournament with $\gamma(T) \geq 4$, then T is quadrangular.*

Let S be a set of k integers between 1 and $2k$ such that if $i, j \in S$, $i + j \neq 2k + 1$. Construct a digraph on $2k + 1$ vertices labeled $0, 1, \dots, 2k$, with $i \rightarrow j$ if and only if $j - i \pmod{2k + 1} \in S$. By our choice of S , if $i \rightarrow j$ then j does not beat i . Also, this digraph must have $(2k + 1)k = \binom{2k+1}{2}$ arcs. Thus, this is a tournament. Such a tournament is called a *rotational tournament*, and the set S is called its *symbol*. We denote by U_n the rotational tournament whose symbol is $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$. In [5], Fisher, Lundgren, Merz and Reid show that if a tournament on n vertices has an n -cycle as its domination graph, then it is isomorphic to U_n .

Theorem 3.3 *If T is a rotational tournament on $n = 2k + 1$ vertices, then either T is isomorphic to U_n or for all $u \neq v \in V(T)$, $O(u) \cap O(v) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let T be a rotational tournament which is not isomorphic to U_n . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exist vertices $u \neq v \in V(T)$ such that $O(u) \cap O(v) = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality suppose $u = 0$. Now, since T is regular and $O(u) \cap O(v) = \emptyset$, $|O[u] \cup O[v]| = 2k + 2 - 1 - 0 = 2k + 1 = n$. Thus, u and v form a dominant pair. Since T is rotational, $O(u + i) \cap O(v + i) = \emptyset$ for all i . This says that $\{i, i + v \pmod{n}\}$ forms a dominant pair for all i . This means that the domination graph of T is a cycle, and the only tournaments with this property are isomorphic to U_n . $\square$

Pick $n > 3$. Then for $0, \frac{n-3}{2} \in V(U_n)$, $|O(0) \cap O(\frac{n-3}{2})| = 1$. So, U_n is not quadrangular for any $n > 3$, and we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 *If T is rotational and quadrangular with $|V(T)| > 3$, then $O(u) \cap O(v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $u \neq v \in V(T)$.*

Theorem 3.4 *Let T be a rotational tournament on $n > 3$ vertices, with symbol S . Then, T is quadrangular if and only if for all integers m with*

$1 \leq m \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ there exist distinct subsets $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq S$ such that $(i - j) \equiv (k - l) \equiv m \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Pick $u \neq 0 \in V(T)$, and suppose S has the property stated in the theorem. We show that $|O(u) \cap O(0)| \neq 1$. For $x \in V(T)$ we can use the rotational property of T to map x to 0. Then, as u was arbitrarily chosen, for any vertex $y \neq x$ we have $|O(x) \cap O(y)| = |O(0) \cap O(u)| \neq 1$. So T will be out-quadrangular and hence quadrangular since T is regular. If $u \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ there exist sets $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq S$ such that $(i - j) \equiv (k - l) \equiv u \pmod{n}$. So, $i - u \equiv j \pmod{n}$ and $k - u \equiv l \pmod{n}$. Thus, $j, l \in O(u)$. Further, $j, l \in O(0)$ since $j, l \in S$. Note, $j \neq l$ for otherwise, $i = k$ contradicting $\{i, j\}$ and $\{k, l\}$ being distinct sets. Thus, $|O(u) \cap O(0)| \geq 2$. If $u \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ then $-u \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and so there exist sets $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq S$ such that $(i - j) \equiv (k - l) \equiv -u \pmod{n}$. So, $(j - i) \equiv (l - k) \equiv u \pmod{n}$, and the argument is the same.

Now, assume that T is quadrangular. Then by Corollary 3.2, $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq 1$ for all $u, v \in V(T)$. Thus, for all $u, v \in V(T)$, we must have that $|O(u) \cap O(v)| \geq 2$. In particular, for all $m \in V(T)$, we must have that $|O(0) \cap O(m)| \geq 2$. Since $O(0) = S$, there must be at least 2 elements of S say j, l such that $j, l \in O(m)$. So, there must exist $i, k \in S$ such that $i - m \equiv j \pmod{n}$ and $k - m \equiv l \pmod{n}$. Note this makes $\{i, j\}$ and $\{k, l\}$ the sets stated in the theorem. Also, if $m \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then $-m \pmod{n} \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and the argument in the previous paragraph shows that the sets which work for $-m$ also work for m . $\square$

This theorem lets us restate the existence question for quadrangular rotational tournaments ($n > 3$) as the following:

For which odd integers n does there exist a set of size $\frac{n-1}{2}$ such that if $i \in S$, $-i \pmod{n} \notin S$ and for all integers $1 \leq m \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, there exist distinct sets $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq S$ such that $(i - j) \equiv (k - l) \equiv m \pmod{n}$?

The smallest such n is 11 with $S = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 9\}$. In fact, one can generalize this set and verify that for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ the set

$$S = \left\{ i : 1 \leq i \leq n-2, i \text{ is odd}, i \neq \left(\frac{n+3}{2} \right) \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\frac{n-3}{2} \right) \right\}$$

is the symbol for a quadrangular rotational tournament.

4 Further work and open problems

There is still work to be done in this area. Though we have given a number of necessary conditions and some classifications for a tournament to be quadrangular we lack constructions of quadrangular tournaments. Also, a number of our classifications required a lower bound on the domination number of a sub-tournament. Quite a bit of work has been done on domination in tournaments already (for example [5,6]). However, finding tournaments with a given domination number is still an open problem.

Quadrangularity is a nice property for examining the structure of orthogonal matrices, but not all quadrangular digraphs are the digraph of an orthogonal matrix. For instance, as mentioned above, the rotational tournament with symbol $S = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 9\}$ is a quadrangular tournament. However, the adjacency matrix of this tournament is the incidence matrix of the $(11, 5, 2)$ design which, as is shown in [1], cannot be the pattern of a real orthogonal matrix. So, stronger necessary conditions should be explored.

In a coming paper, we address some of these issues and determine for which orders quadrangular tournaments exist. We also explore a more restrictive necessary condition known as strong quadrangularity.

References

- [1] L. B. Beasley, R. A. Brualdi, B. L. Shader, Combinatorial orthogonality, in: R. A. Brualdi, S. Friedland, V. Klee (Eds.), *Combinatorial and Graph-Theoretical Problems in Linear Algebra*, Vol. 50 of The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993, pp. 207–218.
- [2] P. M. Gibson, G.-H. Zhang, Combinatorially orthogonal matrices and related graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 282 (1998) 83–95.
- [3] K. B. Reid, C. Thomassen, Edge sets contained in circuits, *Israel J. Math* 24 (1976) 305–319.
- [4] K. Zyczkowski, M. Kus, W. Slomczynski, H.-J. Sommers, Random unistochastic matrices, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* (28 March 2003) 3425–3450.
- [5] D. C. Fisher, J. R. Lundgren, S. K. Merz, K. B. Reid, The domination and competition graphs of a tournament, *J. Graph Theory* 29 (1998) 103–110.
- [6] D. C. Fisher, J. R. Lundgren, S. K. Merz, K. B. Reid, Domination graphs of tournaments and digraphs, *Congr. Numer.* 108 (1995) 97–107.